

Scholarly Curriculum for Psychiatry residents

Dr. Kamini Vasudev, Scholarly portfolio Lead
Dr. Arlene MacDougall, Director of Research
Dr. James Ross, Associate Program Director

July 2020

Updated June and Sept 2021

PREAMBLE

The specific goals and objectives for the Scholarly curriculum are divided into “core objectives” which are relevant to all residents and “proficiencies” which are relevant to those residents with more specific training objectives in research. It is anticipated that the former will provide the necessary background for those intending to engage entirely in clinical practice, whereas the latter will be of particular interest to residents intending to undertake a career which includes a stronger research component such as an academic career, industry research, etc.

For proficiency in research, see additional ‘Research Track’ guidelines.

As per RCPSC psychiatry Competency document 2020, the following CanMEDS competencies are relevant to the Scholarly curriculum

MEDICAL EXPERT:

1. Practise medicine within their defined scope of practice and expertise

1.3.15. Evidence-based health care

1.3.15.1 Critical appraisal

1.3.16 Principles of quality assurance and improvement

1.3.17 Research methodology

5. Actively contribute, as an individual and as a member of a team providing care, to the continuous improvement of health care quality and patient safety

LEADER

1. Contribute to the improvement of health care delivery in teams, organizations, and systems

1.1 Apply the science of quality improvement to systems of patient care

1.2 Contribute to a culture that promotes safety

1.2.1 Assess and manage safety/risk for staff and care providers in all settings

1.3 Analyze patient safety incidents to enhance systems of care

1.4 Use health informatics to improve the quality of patient care and optimize patient safety

2. Engage in the stewardship of health care resources

2.1 Allocate health care resources for optimal patient care

2.2 Apply evidence and management processes to achieve cost-appropriate care

3. Demonstrate leadership in health care systems

- 3.1 Demonstrate leadership skills to enhance health care
- 3.2 Facilitate change in health care to enhance services and outcomes

HEALTH ADVOCATE

- 2. Respond to the needs of the communities or populations they serve by advocating with them for system-level change in a socially accountable manner
- 2.2 Improve clinical practice by applying a process of continuous quality improvement to disease prevention, health promotion, and health surveillance activities
- 2.3 Contribute to a process to improve health in the community or population they serve
 - 2.3.1. Identify opportunities for advocacy, health promotion, and disease prevention, applying knowledge of
 - 2.3.1.1. Major regional, national, and international advocacy groups in mental health care
 - 2.3.1.2 Governance structures in mental health care

SCHOLAR

- 3. Integrate best available evidence into practice
 - 3.1 Recognize practice uncertainty and knowledge gaps in clinical and other professional encounters and generate focused questions that can address them
 - 3.2 Identify, select, and navigate pre-appraised resources
 - 3.3 Critically evaluate the integrity, reliability, and applicability of health-related research and literature
 - 3.4. Integrate evidence into decision-making in their practice
- 4. Contribute to the creation and dissemination of knowledge and practices applicable to health
 - 4.1 Demonstrate an understanding of the scientific principles of research and scholarly inquiry and the role of research evidence in health care
 - 4.2 Identify ethical principles for research and incorporate them into obtaining informed consent, considering potential harms and benefits, and considering vulnerable and marginalized populations
 - 4.2.1 Adhere to guidelines for ethical research, including: obtaining valid consent, where appropriate; lack of coercion; and avoidance of harm
 - 4.3 Contribute to the work of a research program
 - 4.4 Pose questions amenable to scholarly investigation and select appropriate methods to address them

4.4.1 Conduct scholarly work, including research, quality assurance, and/or educational initiatives

4.5 Summarize and communicate to professional and lay audiences, including patients and their families, the findings of relevant research and scholarly inquiry

TRAINING EXPERIENCES (relevant to Scholarly curriculum as per stages of training recommended by RCPSC):

1. TRANSITION TO DISCIPLINE

2.3. Orientation to program, postgraduate and institutional policies, procedures, protocols, and resources

2. FOUNDATIONS OF DISCIPLINE

Required training experience

2.1.21. Principles of patient safety and quality assurance and improvement

2.1.21.1. Principles of Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA)

2.1.22. Principles of critical appraisal and literature review

Recommended training experience

4.2. Participation in quality improvement (QI) rounds

4.3. Scholarly activity, including research, quality assurance, or education.

3. CORE OF DISCIPLINE

Required training experience

2.4. Participation in QI rounds

2.5. Scholarly activity, including research, quality assurance, or education

4. TRANSITION TO PRACTICE

Recommended training experience

4.1. Participation in a project evaluating costs of patient treatment in different settings

4.2. Participation in a quality improvement initiative

CORE OBJECTIVES:

The resident should be able to review and synthesize a body of research literature concerning a clinical issue or problem in psychiatry formulating appropriate conclusions about what is justified by the relevant literature, what are the major shortcomings in the existent research, what would be priorities for future research and implications of the research literature for clinical practice.

The resident should be able to design, conduct, implement and report on a Quality improvement and /or patient safety project.

HOW WILL THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE TAKE PLACE?

Basic aspects of the knowledge components of core objectives should be acquired through a variety of teaching and training activities. These include the teaching sessions of the longitudinal courses in 'Research in Psychiatry' and 'Quality Improvement', attending monthly Scholarly project Update Group, Evidence based Journal club.

The skills component of the core competencies should be acquired through completion of a Scholarly project and Reflective learning exercise.

Teaching sessions: Topics covered are as follows:

PGY1: Introduction to Research in Psychiatry, Introduction to Continuous Quality Improvement, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials.

PGY2: Principles of Research Design, Writing a Research Protocol and REB application, Psychometrics for Psychiatrists, Observational Studies in Psychiatry, Pharmacotherapy and Non-pharmacotherapy Clinical Trials

PGY3: Introduction to Cognitive Neuroscience Techniques in Psychiatry Research, Health Services Research, Qualitative Research Methods, Knowledge Translation, Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, Workshop on Quality improvement/patient safety QI/PS (Interactive 2 hour workshop focusing on current on-going QI/PS project).

PGY4: Workshop on Quality improvement/patient safety.

PGY5-6/TTP: CQI in clinical practice.

Scholarly Project Update group

PGY 1 to 4 are expected to attend this Group, which takes place once a month (2nd Thursday, 12 to 1 pm) at Victoria Hospital. This group provides a forum for residents to present Scholarly ideas and updates for discussion and to receive feedback. Active researchers and QI specialists within the Department are also invited to present their work. The Group provides residents with an opportunity to learn about Scholarly activities going on within the Department and link up with mentors. The group facilitator makes every effort to keep the atmosphere informal, supportive and intellectually stimulating.

Evidence based Journal club

This takes place once a month (4th Thursday, 4.15 to 5.30pm) at B-8, 131, VH. Attendance at these seminars is mandatory for PGY 2, 3 and 4 residents. Each resident is expected to have presented at least two papers during the three years.

To optimize the educational value of journal club for all residents participating in journal club as well as to better improve residents' ability to critically evaluate the medical literature and how it applies to clinic practice, the "User's Guides to Medical Literature" series from JAMA has been incorporated into journal club presentations. Residents are assigned a specific reference from the "User's Guide", and they use their assigned reference to guide them in selecting an article, analyzing the article, and presenting it at journal club. Residents use the worksheet associated with each section in the JAMA Users' Guides to guide their discussion of each article. Each worksheet includes the specific questions that are important for analyzing and understanding the article that the resident plans to address at journal club.

Dr. Rob Nicolson conducts this session and completes assessments for residents regularly.

Completion of a scholarly project during residency

Residents (enrolled 2011 onwards) are mandated to complete a scholarly project under supervision of a faculty member.

Residents may participate in a scholarly research, quality improvement, or educational project relevant to Psychiatry, **demonstrating primary responsibility** for at least one of the following elements of the project:

- Development of the hypothesis, which must include a comprehensive literature review
- Development of the protocol for the scholarly project
- Preparation of a grant application
- Development of the research ethics proposal
- Interpretation and synthesis of the result
- Literature review to conduct meta-analysis/Systematic review

The residents must start their project at the latest by PGY3 year and finish at the latest by PGY4 year. It is expected that by Christmas of PGY2 year, the resident will identify a scholarly project that they wish to work on and choose a supervisor relevant to the area of interest. If the resident is interested the scholarly project can be started in PGY1 year.

It is expected that the project will be conducted over a period of 1 to 2 years and cannot be conducted exclusively in a research selective or elective block. The residents are required to submit a report at completion of their project and present the findings of their project at the annual spring departmental research day (June) as either a poster or podium presentation.

Research Electives:

Research selective/elective are available in the PGY1 year (minimum 1 block/4 weeks, maximum 2 blocks or 8 weeks) and during PGY4, 5 (elective: minimum 2 blocks/8 weeks, maximum 6 blocks or 24 weeks; selective : minimum 3 blocks/12 weeks, maximum 6 blocks or 24 weeks).

Selectives/electives may be used to gain experience in a specific research area, which may be an extension of the project the resident has already been involved in or a new area of interest. The selective or elective cannot be used to complete data analysis/writing up of a paper for publication for the mandatory scholarly project. The acceptability and duration of a research

selective/elective will depend upon 1)Approval of the individual resident’s proposal by the supervisor and 2)Submission of a proposal (at least 3 months in advance) detailing the rationale of the work to be carried out, time frame and the learning objectives (Elective proposal application form)

Reflective learning Exercise on OI/patient safety/Ethics related incident

Residents are expected to have written reports in their portfolio on at least two incidents during their clinical experience (PGY1- PGY4) where they reflected on how quality of care or patient safety could have been improved using the template below.

Reflective Exercise template (1-2 pages)

What to reflect on ?

This can be anything. Most reflections are on things that go wrong. These situations stay in one’s head and force us to begin to think about whether they could have done anything differently. However, reflecting on things that went well can often be more rewarding and be just as useful. It can build confidence and help you to repeat it again on another occasion.

What, where, and who—the situation

Think about the situation in detail: What happened exactly and in what order, where were you at the time and who else was involved? What part did you have to play? What was the final outcome?

How did it make you feel—your emotional state

What was running through your head and how did you feel about it? Be honest with yourself: were you afraid, confused, angry or scared? If you can understand how you were feeling at the time it will help you put together why things happened as they did, and help you to recognize similar situations in the future.

Why did it happen—making sense of the situation

Now you have thought about the situation in greater detail, and probably recognized things that would have otherwise gone unnoticed, think about why things happened as they did. How did the situation, yourself, and others interact at the time. Did the situation go well or was there room for improvement?

Could you have done anything differently—critical review and development of insight

With the help of hindsight how would you have managed the situation differently? Think about what factors you could have influenced: is there anything you could have tried that may have improved the situation, or is there anything you did that was particularly important in the situation? It is easy to remember the things that you did not do and it is often the things that you did well that are forgotten.

What will you do differently in the future—how will this change your practice

This is arguably the most important stage in reflecting. You need to pull together everything you have thought of before to learn, change your own practice, and improve³. Do not only think about what you would do differently in that specific situation, but think whether you have thought of any transferable knowledge or skills you can utilize elsewhere. For example: if you reflect on a postprocedural complication do not only think of how you would manage this again but also how you would prevent it happening if you performed the procedure yourself! If you are a part of a well-led cardiac arrest do not think only of what you would do next to help, but also

how you would lead an arrest in the future, or even how you would lead a team in any other situation!

Re-enforcement—what happens when you put this into practice

Test your reflections: When comparable situations happen again, do things change as you would expect them to? This is a chance to repeat the reflective cycle to refine and develop your understanding.

(Reference: Koshy et al. International Journal of Surgery Oncology (2017) 2:e20)

Supervisor role (Supervisor can be a faculty member from another department as long as they are willing to take following responsibilities)

- ▶ The Department of Psychiatry provides MBR points to faculty members who supervise residents for a research/quality improvement project.
- ▶ The supervisors are expected to supervise and assess the resident on the basis of the observations made during the conduct of a project; the written report and the presentation at the research day.
- ▶ The supervisors will provide feedback to their trainees every six months (June and Dec) until the end of the project when the final assessment will be completed.
- ▶ The supervisors will ensure that the scholarly project is progressing as per schedule and will address any challenges faced by the resident in timely completion of the project.
- ▶ If the supervisor has any concerns regarding the progress of the trainee, he/she is expected to bring this to the attention of the PGE Program Director, at the earliest possible time.

SUPPORT/RESOURCES AVAILABLE FOR RESIDENTS

- ▶ Half academic day (Thursdays) every 6 weeks in PGY2-4 years is available as protected time for Scholarly activities. Afternoon sessions on Thursdays are shortened to 2 hours to allow time for psychotherapy and scholarly activities.
- ▶ Residents have access to an academic fund of \$150 per year to support their scholarly activities, which can be used yearly or can be accumulated over the 5 years to be used as and when needed. Residents are regularly made aware by e-mail of other opportunities for academic awards, announced by Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Canadian Psychiatric Association, Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada and other academic organizations in North America.
- ▶ Dr. Arlene MacDougall, Director of Research is available to provide consultations re research methodology/statistics or mentorship
- ▶ The residents' research representative works collaboratively with the Residency Program Committee to advocate for research related needs of the residents
- ▶ Annual Best resident researcher award
- ▶ Introduce an award for best QI project conducted by resident, starting 2021-22.
- ▶ All PGY1s during their orientation month in July receive a 45 min session on Introduction to Scholarly curriculum.

Residents can become familiar with opportunities available in the department in several ways:

- Look up the Research section and Annual Report at the Department of Psychiatry website, which provides details of ongoing research in various divisions of the department <http://www.schulich.uwo.ca/psychiatry/research/index.html>
- Watch out for the list of scholarly/quality improvement projects available within the department; this list is e-mailed to the residents regularly.
- Attend the Scholarly Project Update Group, which is held once a month.
- Consult with the Scholarly Portfolio lead and/or Director of Research to discuss appropriate mentors for any specific areas of interest that a resident may have.

Lawson Mandatory Training Requirements for Clinical Research

All residents are mandated to complete training requirements, developed by Lawson health Research Institute.

As part of the Quality Management System, Lawson has developed a Training Requirement Toolkit. These requirements are designed to provide direction for education and training in accordance with Lawson's policies and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs).

Contact the Quality Assurance and Education Team at QAEP@lawsonresearch.com to request your copy of the Training Requirement Toolkit.

ASSESSMENT OF CORE OBJECTIVES (Overseen by Competence committee)

Attendance is mandatory at all of the above teaching and training activities.

The **Residents' scholarly project** will be assessed by the supervisor based on observations made during the conduct of project; the written report and the presentation at the research day.

The supervisors will be expected to provide feedback to their trainee every six months until the end of the project when the final assessment will be completed (using the **Scholarly project Assessment tool**).

Two written reports on reflective learning Exercise on QI/patient safety/Ethics incident

Record of scholarly activities by resident:

Residents will be expected to complete a 'Resident Scholarly project report form' for the semi-annual review with the Program director. In this form they will summarize the scholarly activities conducted e.g. progress of their ongoing project and written reflective exercises as well as oral or poster presentation at a conference, abstract submission, and publication of papers.

PROFICIENCY IN RESEARCH: Resident Research Track (available for Residents starting July 2020)

A Resident Research Track is available for qualified candidates with previous experience in research and a clear interest in pursuing an academic career in psychiatry.

The Research Track offers residents dedicated research time and training from PGY2 to the completion of residency while ensuring the resident meets the full clinical training requirements of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada:

1. PGY2-3: half-day per week of protected research time
2. PGY4: one day per week of protected research time
3. PGY4-5: research electives vs. Clinician-Investigator Program based on availability and preference (see below for more information)

When possible, clinical training placements for Research Track residents will be organized to allow integration with research.

Graduate Degree Training:

Research Track residents will have an opportunity to apply to a graduate degree program (masters or start a PhD) during their PGY4-5 years.

The Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry offers the Royal College of Physician and Surgeons of Canada's accredited [Clinician Investigator Program](#) (CIP), which supports residents to engage in a minimum of 24 months of continuous, intensive research training. At Schulich, the CIP provides 2 years of financial support for residents to complete a graduate program offered through one of Schulich's [basic science departments](#) (e.g., Epidemiology and Biostatistics, [Anatomy and Cell Biology](#), [Medical Biophysics](#), etc.). As part of the CIP, residents also participate in a research seminar series focused on the development of clinical investigators. Note that the CIP is a competitive program with only 2-4 spots available per year. Applications are typically due early January. Please go to: https://www.schulich.uwo.ca/research/student_trainee_programs/clinical/clinical_investigator_program.html for more information on Schulich's CIP including contacts and the application process.

Residents who are not part of the CIP but who want to complete a graduate degree as part of their Research Track will need to secure / self-fund their own graduate degree tuition costs.

Expectations and Assessment: (In addition to the expectations to achieve Core competencies detailed above)

As part of the Resident Research Track, residents will be provided with opportunities to develop greater proficiencies in various research knowledge and skills, including:

- Developing and maintaining databases
- Elements of writing research proposals, reports and grant applications
- Preparation and submission of a research ethics protocol
- Analyze data using appropriate methods and common computer programs for statistical analysis including more advanced statistical methods such as multiple and logistic regression, analysis of covariance, data reduction techniques (eg. factor analysis, cluster analysis), survival analysis and multivariate analysis.
- Formulate appropriate conclusions on the basis of the data.
- Competently use one or more advanced research methods, for example those related to psychophysiology, imaging, psychometrics, genetics, formal modeling, etc.
- Write one or more papers for publication in a peer-reviewed indexed journal

Research Track residents are expected to achieve the following deliverables:

1. At least two first author conference abstracts (national/international conferences).
2. At least one first author manuscript publication in indexed peer-reviewed journal.

Although research track residents are encouraged to engage in primary data collection for their project, secondary data analysis projects will be considered. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are welcomed but are not considered a sufficient deliverable alone for the resident research track.

An expected timeline for the Research Track is as follows:

PGY1: research electives to find a supervisor, gain exposure to area(s) of interest and identify research project(s) and submit an application to Research Track.

PGY2: formal start to Research Track and start/continuation of projects identified in PGY1. Expected submission of one project summary in the form of a conference abstract for presentation at a local conference.

PGY3-4: expected submission of another project summary in the form of a conference abstract for presentation at national or international conference(s). Complete work directed towards first author manuscript for publication in indexed peer-reviewed journal.

PGY5: expected submission of first author manuscript in indexed peer-reviewed journal.

Research Track residents are expected to complete an assessment by their supervisor every 3 months beginning in PGY2 (using **Research Track Assessment Tool**).

How to Apply:

Interested candidates in the Research Track are strongly encouraged to complete a research elective (1 - 2 months) in PGY1. This elective time offers an opportunity for residents to identify, consult and work with potential Research Track Supervisors, and to prepare their Research Track proposal. The Department of Psychiatry's Scholarly Portfolio Lead - Residents Program Committee and the Director of Research will be available to assist interested candidates in identifying and connecting with potential Research Supervisors.

Research Track Applications must be submitted by March 31st of the PGY1 year to the Psychiatry Postgraduate Education Office (email PGEPsychiatry). Up to two Research Track positions can be accommodated each year. Candidates will be evaluated on their prior demonstrated research activity/productivity, their clear interest and proposed pathway to an academic career in psychiatry, and the strength of their research proposal including the research mentor/supervisor identified. Successful candidates will be notified by May 31st of the PGY1 year.

Components of the Application:

- A. Candidate cover letter.
- B. Proposal (maximum 4 pages). The proposal must include:
 - Project Title
 - Name of Research Supervisor
 - Lay person summary: brief project summary that is easy to understand for the lay public without medical background
 - Rationale/background: why is the topic important? What is known thus far? What are the gaps that should be addressed?
 - Purpose, aims and hypotheses: what is the overarching goal of the research? How will it advance knowledge? What aspects are novel? What are the specific hypotheses to be tested?

- Methods: list specific aspects of study design including measures, timelines, sample characteristics, and description of analytic approach for hypothesis testing. Has the data already been collected?
 - Environment: what resources and support are in place to assist the candidate? Who are the other members of the research team, in addition to the supervisor? What is the specific role of the candidate within the project and the supervisor's program of research?
 - Graduate training: Is the candidate planning to do a graduate degree? If so, what graduate degree program? Does the candidate meet the proposed graduate program admission requirements? How does the applicant plan to fund their graduate training? Is the candidate planning to apply to Schulich's Clinician Investigator Program?
 - Anticipated deliverables including first-author conference presentations and publications (be as specific as possible)
 - Anticipated challenges to project and/or role of the resident within project, and approach to mitigating these challenges
- C. Candidate CV.
- D. Research Supervisor CV (brief / shortened CV is sufficient).
- E. One reference letter from a prior research supervisor.

The application will be evaluated by the PGE program director/associate director, the PGE Scholarly Curriculum Lead, the Director of Research and two senior resident representatives.