

FIG. 2. ATA nodule sonographic patterns and risk of malignancy.

Table 6. Sonographic Patterns, Estimated Risk of Malignancy, and Fine-Needle Aspiration Guidance for Thyroid Nodules

Sonographic pattern	US features	Estimated risk of malignancy, %	FNA size cutoff (largest dimension)
High suspicion	Solid hypoechoic nodule or solid hypoechoic component of a partially cystic nodule with one or more of the following features: irregular margins (infiltrative, microlobu- lated), microcalcifications, taller than wide shape, rim calcifications with small extru- sive soft tissue component, evidence of ETE	>70-90 ^a	Recommend FNA at ≥1 cm
Intermediate suspicion	Hypoechoic solid nodule with smooth mar- gins without microcalcifications, ETE, or taller than wide shape	10-20	Recommend FNA at ≥1 cm
Low suspicion	Isoechoic or hyperechoic solid nodule, or partially cystic nodule with eccentric solid areas, without microcalcification, irregular margin or ETE, or taller than wide shape.	5–10	Recommend FNA at ≥1.5 cm
Very low suspicion	Spongiform or partially cystic nodules with- out any of the sonographic features de- scribed in low, intermediate, or high suspicion patterns	্ত	Consider FNA at ≥2 cm Observation without FNA is also a reasonable option
Benign	Purely cystic nodules (no solid component)	<1	No biopsy ^b

US-guided FNA is recommended for cervical lymph nodes that are sonographically suspicious for thyroid cancer (see Table 7).

"The estimate is derived from high volume centers, the overall risk of malignancy may be lower given the interobserver variability in sonography.

*Aspiration of the cyst may be considered for symptomatic or cosmetic drainage.

ETE, extrathyroidal extension.