Formative Peer/Collaborator Review Process

Purpose

 To provide learners with a collegial perspective on their performance to help identify strengths and areas for improvement

Notes on Implementation

- Peer review should be mandatory in each rotation
- This is strictly a formative exercise, designed to assist students in attaining proficiency
- **Feedback is not anonymous** students should understand that giving and receiving collegial feedback is a skill that contributes to team development and life-long learning (competencies 3.6, 7.11, and 7.12)
- Students need to be educated and reminded about the processes involved, the value of peer assessment, how it fits into the curriculum, and its contribution to their personal learning
- Student education about assessment practices begins during the orientation for Clerkship, but it would be beneficial to students to reinforce the value of peer review and how it works during each rotation

Method

Who

- Where possible, fellow clerks
 - When clerks are on more isolated rotations, an allied health care provider could provide feedback

What

- The standard Clerkship Peer Review Form should be used for all rotations
- Supervisors should note that peer review offers multiple opportunities for assessment of student learning
 - o Peer assessment is an opportunity to gain further insight into the clerk being assessed
 - A peer assessor's comments and supporting details, provide insight into the peer assessor's ability to give meaningful feedback to a colleague

When

- At the start of each rotation, students should be assigned two different colleagues to review
 - This information should be included in the orientation to the rotation
 - When clerks are on isolated rotations, one peer assessment is sufficient
 - On isolated rotations, and where appropriate elsewhere, this review could be completed by an allied healthcare professional who has collaborated with the clerk
 - The Windsor situation is currently unique due to student numbers it is advisable that allied health care professional collaborator assessment be used more liberally to ensure diverse perspectives are brought forward and to avoid peer assessment fatigue
- Forms should be completed at the mid-point during the 6 or 12-week rotations (adaptable for 2+2+2 rotations)
 - o For 2-week rotations, forms must be completed by the end of the rotation
- Students should receive their peer feedback after it is has been reviewed by the appropriate supervisor
 - o Ideally, feedback should be provided to the student within 24-48 hours of the supervisor receiving it
 - o Peer feedback received by a student (and possibly the peer feedback they gave) should be incorporated into the exit interview at the end of the rotation. This:
 - Underscores that accountability is attached to peer feedback
 - Reinforces the value of the peer review process and the effort put into it



MEDS 2018



Western UniversitySchulich School of Medicine & Dentistry

Peer Review Feedback

Academic Year 2016 - 2017

Review of Clerk:		Dates:	
Rotation:		Assessor:	
need to improve. In order for constructive feedback, mean they could use some addition to support your comments be	your advice to be truly hely ing you are able to identify hal support. To have an eve eyond your initial statement aduate Medical Curriculum	oful, it is important that you areas where a colleague is en deeper impact, it is important where This information where the state of the collection of the collection where the collection is stated as the collection of the colle	ere they are doing well and where they are candid. Your focus should be on a doing well, as well as areas where cortant that you provide specific details will not appear on the MSPR.
Medical Expert Health Advocate	Communicator Scholar	Collaborator Professional	Manager
Use this space to discus	ss 1 – 2 of your colleag	ue's strengths:	
Success	Details		
Use this space to discus	s 1 – 2 of your colleagu	ue's areas for continue	ed growth:
Challenges	Details		